



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/679,708 10/03/2003		10/03/2003	Charlotte A. Kensil	8449-322-999	9606		
20583	7590	06/13/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
JONES D			KIM, YUNSOO				
222 EAST NEW YOR		10017	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	,			1644	-		
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Ap	pplicant(s)						
0.00	• " •	10/679,708	KE	ENSIL ET AL.						
Offic	ce Action Summary	Examiner	Art	t Unit						
		Yunsoo Kim	164							
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover s	heet with the corre	espondence add	iress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)⊠ Respons	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 A</u>	April 2005.								
2a)⊠ This acti	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.									
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Cla	aims									
4)⊠ Claim(s)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46-57 and 63-65</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s)	5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>46-57,63-65</u> is/are rejected.									
· · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Application Pape	rs									
9)∭ The spec	ification is objected to by the Examine	er.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).										
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:										
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage										
•	plication from the International Burea	•	· ·							
See the at	tached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copi	es not received.							
Attachment(s)										
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)										
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) losure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		per No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Patent		-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail		,	her:	,,	·- ,					

Application/Control Number: 10/679,708

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 46-57 and 63-65 are pending.

- 2. Applicants' amendment filed on 4/11/05 has been entered.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 46-57 and 63-65 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kensil et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,057,540) in view of Allison et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,772,466) (see entire documents of record).

Applicants' arguments, filed on 4/11/05, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' argue that the references do not provide motivation to use non-ionic surfactant with saponin adjuvant. As stated in the office action mailed on 1/11/05, Allison et al. teach non-ionic surfactants including polysorbate, polysorbate 20, polysorbate 80 (col 6, lines 46-48, in particular) and other sorbitan-based non-ionic surfactants are very useful in vaccine formulations as they increase efficacy of vaccine compositions, and stabilize the emulsion when suspension is formed (see cols 4-6).

Contraty to the applicants' argument that neither of the references provides the motivation of combining non-ionic surfactant with saponin adjuvant, Allison et al. teach combining non-ionic surfactant (i.e. PLURONIC (polyoxypropylene-polyoxyethylene block polymer) & based adjuvant) with saponin adjuvant (i.e. Quil-A&) to increase protection (see Example 4, cols 14-15). Allison et al further teach that non-ionic surfactant (including polyoxypropylene-polyoxyethylene block polymer) is non-toxic and may safely used as a vehicle for enhancing the immunogenicity (col. 2, lines 36-41). Allison et al. also state that TWEEN & is the most preferred surfactant (col. 6, lines 41-48). Thus, the claims remain rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,708 Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

4. No claims are allowable.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set

forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yunsoo Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8:30 - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571-272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yunsoo Kim

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

May 26, 2005

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1600